

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/053,365	10/26/2001	Steven B. Dawes	SP01-277/9272-8	2877
20792 75	590 04/12/2006		EXAMINER	
MYERS BIGEL SIBLEY & SAJOVEC			HOFFMANN, JOHN M	
	PO BOX 37428 RALEIGH, NC 27627		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1731	
			DATE MAILED: 04/12/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

••				
<i>)</i> '	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/053,365	DAWES ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	John Hoffmann	1731		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	66(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 Fe 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	osecution as to the merits is		
Disposition of Claims				
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-14,17-24,27-54,56 and 132-138 is/a 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-5,10-14,17-24,27-54,56 and 132-13 7) ☐ Claim(s) 6-9 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration. 88 is/are rejected.			
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the lddrawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is object.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage		
Attachment(s)				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:			

Art Unit: 1731

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

Claims 6-9 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim.

Claim 6 requires the pressure staying constant through both reacting times, which means that the vessel stays substantially constantly filled. However claim 1 requires that the vessel be partially evacuated and refilled – thus it has to be made at least partially empty. Thus claim 6 changes the scope from one which the vessel is emptied (at least partially) to one that is never empty. These are mutally exclusive scopes. Claim 6 does not limit claim 1 – it takes it to a completely new scope.

Claims 6-9 are not further treated on their merits;

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to

Art Unit: 1731

consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 1-5, 10-14, 17-24, and 27-54 and 56 and 132-138 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ikuta 6499317.

See prior Office action for the manner in which Ikuta is applied.

As to the new pressure limitations : see col. 11, lines 18.

As to the new limitation that no more that 0.5 splm flows out of the vessel. One would immediately infer that the pressure vessel can and will maintain the pressure.

From MPEP 2144.01 Implicit Disclosure:

Art Unit: 1731

"[I]n considering the disclosure of a reference, it is proper to take into account not only specific teachings of the reference but also the inferences which one skilled in the art would reasonably be expected to draw therefrom." In re Preda, 401 F.2d 825, 826, 159 USPQ 342, 344 (CCPA 1968).

See also, *In re Fritch*, 972 F.2d 1260, 1264-65, 23 USPQ2d 1780, 1782-83 (Fed. Cir. 1992); *In re Sovish*, 769 F.2d 738, 743, 226 USPQ 771, 774 (Fed. Cir 1985).

Alternatively: if any gas leaked out, it would have been obvious to seal the leak so as to not waste any gas.

As to claims 10 and 52-54: it would have been obvious to replenish any consumed specie – col. 11, lines 15-16 teaches a specific concentration – the only way one can obtain this is to replace any consumed.

Alternatively: since the pressure would drop when fluorine is consumed, it would have been obvious to add more gas, so as to maintain the pressure constant.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 2/13/2006 and 11/09/2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

It is argued that (regarding the objection of claim 6) that other gases could be used to maintain total pressure in the vessel. This is not understood. Claim 1 requires evacuating – if the pressure is maintained, then the vessel is not evacuated.

Art Unit: 1731

It is further argued that Ikuta does not teach or suggest holding the preform in a doping atmosphere which is kept at a pressure higher than ambient. Examiner disagrees: col. 11, line18 clearly teaches pressures greater than ambient.

It is further argued that col. 13 lines 25-37 teaches away from the invention at col. 13, lines 25-37. Examiner disagrees: that passage only exemplifies an "example". The present rejection is not limited to the col. 13 embodiment.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John Hoffmann whose telephone number is (571) 272 1191. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 7:00- 3:30.

4-10-06

Application/Control Number: 10/053,365

Art Unit: 1731

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Steve Griffin can be reached on 571-272-1189. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact/the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

John Hoffmann Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1731

jmh